

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Corporacion Nacional del Cobre de Chile 'A+' Long-Term Corporate Credit Rating Affirmed; Outlook Still Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Diego H Ocampo, Buenos Aires (54) 114-891-2116; diego.ocampo@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Marcus Fernandes, Sao Paulo (55) 11-3039-9734; marcus.fernandes@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Corporacion Nacional del Cobre de Chile 'A+' Long-Term Corporate Credit Rating Affirmed; Outlook Still Stable

Overview

- Better copper prices and money from its owner are stabilizing Codelco's adjusted leverage at around 3.1x-3.5x. However, we still expect free cash flow deficits ranging from \$250 million to \$500 million per year that Codelco will finance with capital injections.
- We're affirming our 'A+' long-term corporate credit rating on Codelco. The outlook is stable. The company's stand-alone credit profile (SACP) remains at 'bb'.
- Copper prices above \$6,600 per ton favor an upward revision of Codelco's SACP, but that would also require a gradual reduction of gross debt levels. An upgrade to Codelco is unlikely without a parallel rating action on the sovereign.

Rating Action

On Feb. 26, 2018, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' long-term foreign currency rating on Chilean government-owned copper miner Corporacion Nacional del Cobre de Chile (Codelco). We also affirmed our 'A+' ratings on its bonds. Codelco's SACP remains at 'bb'. The outlook on the issuer is stable.

Rationale

Our rating on Codelco remains largely driven by the explicit support we expect Codelco to get from its sole owner, Chile (A+/Stable/A-1), in case of need. Because Codelco is closely intertwined with Chile's government, and because its size makes it very relevant for the Chilean economy and mining industry, the government is actively involved in Codelco's business and financial strategies. These factors support our view of an extremely high likelihood of financial support from the owner if needed.

Codelco's internal capacity to generate free cash flow remains limited by the burdensome levies imposed on all Chilean government-owned entities, and by its need to invest \$3.5 to \$4 billion per year, for the next four years, to sustain its current output and ensure long-term mining viability. We expect free operating cash shortfalls ranging from \$250 to \$500 million per year through 2020, after capital investments and before dividends and cash contributions from the owner.

Chile taxes Codelco with an effective corporate income tax of about 65% and imposes the obligation to finance the Army (Ley Reservada)--an annual disbursement of around \$1.0 billion per year, depending on copper prices. Capital injections in times of low copper prices partially offset this tax scheme. We also expect the government to deploy more funds in the future because the Capitalization Law, passed in 2014, demands a remainder of \$1.4 billion through 2019, apart from the \$520 million injected in December 2017. The Chilean government also returned \$950 million of the "Ley Reservada" to the company in two payments of \$475 million in December 2016 and April 2017.

Our updated base case shows leverage hovering between 3.1x and 3.5x, as measured by the adjusted debt-to-EBITDA ratio in 2018 and 2019, while the funds from operations (FFO)-to-debt ratio remains around 25%. Our base case also incorporates the latest updates to the investment plan, which consists of two main projects--Chuquicamata Underground (367 kilotons [kt] per year in 2019) and El Teniente New Mine (432 kt per year in 2023)--and smaller projects like the Andina plant relocation and the overhaul of operations to comply with the new emissions standards. Our main assumptions are:

- Average Chilean peso (CLP) exchange rate of CLP650 per \$1 in 2018 and CLP660 per \$1 in 2019;
- Inflation rates of 2.8% in 2018 and 3.0% in 2019;
- Copper prices of \$6,170 per ton through 2020;
- Copper output of about 1.7 million tons per year (mtpy) in 2018 and growing to 1.8 mtpy in 2019 (not including shares in El Abra and Anglo American Sur);
- A 10% tax rate on exports, according to Law 13.196 (Ley Reservada);
- A 40% additional tax rate on Codelco's earnings before taxes, according to Decree Law 2.398;
- Capital expenditures (capex) of \$4 billion in 2018 and 2019;
- Dividends of around \$450 million in 2018 and 2019; and
- Capital injections of \$630 million in both 2018 and 2019.

Liquidity

We assess Codelco's liquidity as adequate because we expect cash sources to exceed uses by at least 20% in the next 12 months, and expect the company to continue generating positive cash over uses even if EBITDA were to decline by 15% from our base case.

We also believe the company has strong financial flexibility and open access to credit markets due to its government ownership, which is reflected in the low spreads in its bonds compared with other frequent corporate debt issuers. The company also has no covenants in any of its debts. These factors offset the risks associated with the company's bold capex in the next 12 months and its lack of committed credit lines.

Principal liquidity sources:

- Expected cash position of around \$1.2 billion as of Dec. 31, 2017;
- FFO of \$3.5 billion and;
- Capital injections of \$630 million.

Principal liquidity uses:

- Short-term debt maturities of approximately \$750 million;
- Sustaining capex of \$2.5 billion; and
- Dividends of about \$450 million in the next 12 months.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects that we don't see any immediate changes to Codelco's leverage profile, nor to our assessment of support from the Chilean government--even in a scenario of better regulation for Codelco. Our ratings and outlook are aligned with an adjusted debt-to-EBITDA ratio of 3.0x-4.0x and a FFO-to-debt ratio of around 25%.

Downside scenario

Assuming our support assessment doesn't change, a one-notch downgrade scenario could arise if we lower Codelco's SACP to 'b+' or if we lower Chile's long-term local currency rating to 'A+' from 'AA-'. The first situation could happen if debt to EBITDA is higher than 5.0x and FFO to debt is below 12% on a consistent basis.

Upside scenario

The chances for an upgrade are minimal in the near future, since it would require a revision of the SACP to 'a' or for us to raise the local currency rating on Chile to 'AA' while Codelco's SACP is at least 'bb+'. However, we could consider revising Codelco's SACP to 'bb+' if the adjusted net debt-to-EBITDA ratio stays close to 3x while FFO to debt is at least 25%-30%. We estimate that Codelco could achieve those ratios if copper prices remain above \$6,700 per ton, but an upward revision of the SACP would also require gradual reduction of gross debt levels and neutralizing free operating cash flow.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate credit rating: A+/Stable/--

Business risk: Satisfactory

- Country risk: Intermediate
- Industry risk: Moderately high
- Competitive position: Satisfactory

Financial risk: Aggressive

- Cash flow/Leverage: Aggressive

Anchor: bb

Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile: bb

Sovereign foreign currency rating: A+/Stable/A-1

Likelihood of government support: Extremely high

Related Criteria

- Criteria - Corporates - General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings, Sept. 21, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Criteria - Corporates - Industrials: Key Credit Factors For The Metals And Mining Upstream Industry, Dec. 20, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Metals Retain Their Lustre As S&P Global Ratings Raises Metals Price Assumptions Again, Dec. 4, 2017

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Corporacion Nacional del Cobre de Chile
Corporate Credit Rating

Foreign Currency A+/Stable/--

Senior Unsecured A+

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.